





CAMEROON BIOSECURITY PROJECT

Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS)

TRAINING MANUAL ON CONTINGENCY PLANNING PROCESS AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE FOR BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS IN CAMEROON.

This training manual has been produced with the support of UNEP/GEF and the Government of Cameroon via the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development.

Under the Supervision of:

Project Component Three Taskforce (MINESUP)

&

The Biosecurity Project Coordination Unit (MINEPDED)









TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	
PREFERRED WAY TO CITE THIS PUBLICATION	xi
CONTACT DETAILS OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED	xii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xiv
DISCLAIMER	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
MODULE 1 - INTRODUCTION AND KNOWLEDGE ASSESSMENT	
1.1 Course Overall Objective	
1.2 Development of the course	
1.3 Course Structure	
1.4 Training Manual Structure	
1.5 Facilitation approach	
1.6 Resources needed	
1.7 Knowledge Survey	5
MODULE 2A - BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS: OVERVIEW OF DEFINITIONS,	
IMPACTS AND CAUSES.	
2.1 Definitions	
2.2. Which taxa can invade?	
2.3. Impacts of biological invasions	
2.3.1. Economic Impacts	
2.3.2. Social Impacts	
2.3.3. Environmental Impacts	
2.4. The invasion process	
2.4.1 Phases of the Invasion Process	
2.4.2. Root Causes of Biological Invasions	
2.4.3. Intentional & Unintentional Species Introductions	
2.5 Impact assessment approaches for priority invasives	
2.5.1 Introduction & methods	
2.5.2 Approaches for assessing biological impact	
2.5.3 Approaches for assessing socio-economic impact	
REFERENCES	56
MODULE 2B - BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS: INTRODUCTION TO BIOLOGICAL INVASIONAL INVAS	ONS IN
CAMEROON62	00
2.6 Introduction & Methods	
2.7 Changes: Crop pests, diseases and plant	63

2.9 Changes: Animal and human diseases	64
2.10 Invasive insects	64
2.10.1 Invertebrate Invaders – Case Studies	65
2.10.2 Results – Plant invaders	82
2.10.3 Vertebrate invaders	97
REFERENCES	100
MODULE 3 - COMPONENTS OF AN LMO/IAS CONTINGENCY PLAN	
3.1 What is a Contingency Plan	
3.2 Benefits of a Contingency Plan	
3.3 Principal outcome of the Contingency Plan	
3.4 Who to notify	
3.5 Steps needed to formulate Contingency Plan in the relevant sectors	
3.6 When to do Contingency Planning	
3.7 Technical Contingency Plans	
3.8 Specific Disease Contingency Plans	
3.9 Standard operating procedures	
3.10 Enterprise manuals	
3.11 Support plans	
3.12 Simulation Exercises	
3.13 Training	
3.14 The need for regular updating of Contingency Plans	
3.15 Introduction Pathways	118
3.16 Initiatives towards the prevention and early detection of biological	
invasions in Cameroon	
3.17 Control Strategies	
3.17.1 Eradication	
3.17.2 Containment and Exclusion	
3.17.3 Suppression	
3.17.4 Learning to live with Invasive Species we cannot control	
3.18 Contingency Planning and Coordination	
3.19 Barriers to Coordination	
REFERENCES	126
MODULE 4A: FORMULATION OF GENERIC EMERGENCY RESPONSE EXER	CISES FOR
THE INITIAL AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE.	
4.1 Guide to the Emergency Response Plan	
4.2 Initial Response4.2 Initial Response4.2	
·	
4.2.2 Precautionary Containment and Control Measures	
4.2.3 Tracing Possible Sources of the Biological invasion	
4.2.4 Delimiting survey	
4.2.5 Biological invaders Information	136

	JLE 4B: MANAGEMENT ASPECTS FOR AN EMERGENCY RESPONSE IN	
	ROONEmergency Response Action	141
	Operational Control Centre (OCC)	
	Γhe Monitoring Plan	
	Eradication	
	The eradication plan	
	Movement Controlanagement Aspects	
4.4 IVI	anagement Aspects	152
	JLE 5 INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS, ORGANISATIONS AND NETWORKS CAN ASSIST CAMEROON IN CONTINGENCY PLANNING AND EMERGENCY	
	ONSE	156
5.1.	International instruments, initiatives and programmes on IAS/LMOs	156
5.1.1	The need for an International Approach	156
5.1.2 (Overview of International Instruments relevant to Invasive Species / LMOs	157
5.1.3	International programmes and initiatives	164
5.2.	Developing and implementing a National Strategic Framework for LMOs/IAS	
Manag	gement	167
5.2.1.	Introduction to the Concept of a National Strategic Framework for	
LMO/I	AS Management	167
5.2.2.	Developing and implementing a National Invasive Species Strategy	170
5.2.2 L	_eadership, Coordination and Cooperation	184
5.2.3 L	∟egal, Policy and Institutional Framework	186
5.2.4 I	mplementation Approaches	188
5.3.	National Strategic Framework: Summary	190
MODU	JLE 6: KNOWLEDGE REASSESSMENT & WORKSHOP EVALUATION	195
6.1	Introduction	195
6.2	Workshop Objectives	195
6.3	Report Outline	195
6.4	Workshop Expectations	195
6.5	Feed Back and Post Workshop Evaluation	196
6.6	Successful delivery of workshop objective	197
6.7	Delivery of individual workshop elements	198
6.8	Overall comments on the workshop	199
6.9	Discussion	200
6.10	Next steps	200
ANNE	XES	192
Annex	1: Outline Course Programme	202
	2. Sampling and shipment for identification	204

Annex 3: Field Instructions for countries starting a fruit fly quarantine surveillance	
programme	
Annex 4: List of ToT Participants	
Annex 5: Pre-Course Knowledge and Attitude Survey	
Annex 6: Contingency Planning Knowledge assessment form	218
Annex 7: Workshop Evaluation Form - Post-Course Knowledge Assessment	220
Annex 8: Example of Contingency Plan In Cameroon	222
·	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: The House Sparrow (<i>Passer domesticus indicus)</i> – Bird – Bird	13
Figure 2.2: The Indian house crow (<i>Corvus splendens</i>) – Bird	14
Figure 2.3: The Argentine ant (<i>Linepithema humile</i>) – Land invertebrate	14
Figure 2.4: Black rat (<i>Rattus rattus</i>) – Mammal	15
Figure 2.5: Water hyacinth or Jacinthe d'eau (<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>) – Aquatic plant	16
Figure 2.6: Percentage of extinctions caused by INNS	17
Figure 2.7: Parthenium weed in flower	
Figure 2.8: European rabbit (<i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>)	
Figure 2.9: Larger grain borer (<i>Prostephanus truncatus</i>)	20
Figure 2.10: A cypress aphid <i>(Cinara cupressivora)</i> colony	21
Figure 2.11: Eucalyptus longhorned borer	22
Figure 2.12: Sea lamprey (<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>) attached to a trout	23
Figure 2.13: Leaves of <i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	25
Figure 2.14: Dense carpet of <i>Caulerpa taxifolia</i> on the Mediterranean seafloor	26
Figure 2.15: Zebra mussel (<i>Dreissena polymorpha</i>	27
Figure 2.16: Formosan subterranean termite (Coptotermes formosanus)	28
Figure 2.17: Removal of <i>Prosopis</i> prior to cultivation	31
Figure 2.18: Algal bloom showing dead fish	33
Figure 2.19: Mallard duck (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>)	36
Figure 2.20: The invasion process phases.	38
Figure 2.21: impacts of a typical pollution and biological invasion over time	39
Figure 2.22: How potential biological invaders species move to new locations	40
Figure 2.23: World shipping routes in 2008. Most used routes are shown	38
Figure 2.24: Types of species introductions.	43
Figure 2.25: Position of official phytosanitary posts in Cameroon	46
Figure 2.26: Ceratitis capitata	66
Figure 2.27: Dacus punctatifrons.	67
Figure 2.28: Bactrocera invadens	68
Figure 2.29: Zonocerus variegatus	69
Figure 2.30: Seedling damaged by cutworm caterpillar	70
Figure 2.31: Helicoverpa armigera	71
Figure 2.32: Pheidole megacephala, Solenopsis geminata and Wasmannia auropunctata	72
Figure 2.33: Differences between ants ("black ants") and termites ("white ants")	73
Figure 2.34: Cosmopolites sordidus (banana weevil), body length: 10-16 mm	74
Figure 2.35: Maize crib storage facility, Ndop	
Figure 2.36: Coffee berry borer (<i>Hypothenemus hampei</i>)	76
Figure 2.37: Bemisia tabaci	
Figure 2.38: <i>Scirtothrips dorsalis</i>	77
Figure 2.39: <i>Helopeltis schoutedeni</i>	
Figure 2.40: Cassava shoot mealybug damage (Photos: IITA – left J. Ngeve – right)	78
Figure 2.41: Severe infestation of <i>Dysmicoccus brevipes</i> on the pineapple fruit	79

Figure 2.42: stalk-eyed fly of the species <i>Teleopsis dalmanni</i>	80
Figure 2.43: Toxoptera aurantii	81
Figure 2.44: Coelaenomenodera sp	82
Figure 2.45: Commelina benghalensis	83
Figure 2.46: Imperata cylindrica	84
Figure 2.47: <i>Urochloa maxima</i>	84
Figure 2.48: Pennisetum purpureum	85
Figure 2.49: Individual Plants and infested area with <i>P. aquilinum</i>	85
Figure 2.50: <i>Bambusa vulgaris</i>	86
Figure 2.51: <i>Chromolaena odorata</i>	87
Figure 2.52: <i>Mimosa diplotricha</i>	88
Figure 2.53: <i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>	88
Figure 2.54: <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> flower shown in inset	89
Figure 2.55: <i>Nypa fruticans</i>	90
Figure 2.56: Newcastle Disease symptoms	92
Figure 2.57: Normal bursa and atrophied bursa post IBDV infection	93
Figure 2.58: ASF. Dead pig with general reddening of the skin	94
Figure 2.59: Sarcoptic mange infection in ear	95
Figure 2.61: Greater cane rat (<i>Thryonomys swinderianus</i>)	98
Figure 2.60: Rat damage on maize	98
Figure 3.1: When to Begin Contingency Planning	.112
Figure 4.1: Schematic representation of the Initial step of the Emergency Response Plan	.130
Figure 4.2: Initial Emergency Response scheme	.131
Figure 4.3: Schematic representation of the second step of the Emergency Response Plan.	.141
Figure 4.4: Management Structure for an Emergency Response for biological invasions	.152
Figure 5.1: Aspects of a National Strategic Framework	.168
Figure 5.2: National Framework to address the dimensions of IAS management	.170
Figure 5.3: Overview of Strategic Framework	.190
Figure A3.1: Museum set specimen of adult <i>B. papayae</i>	.207
Figure A3.2: Steiner Fruit Fly trap	
Figure A8.1: Probang collection in Badzama, East Region	.223
Figure A8.2: Sample collection and disinfection of probing cup before subsequent re-use	
Figure A8.3: Blisters from vesicles and epithelial sample collection from tongue of cattle	.223
Figure A8.4: Prioritization of activities besides vaccination	.230

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Examples of annual national economic losses due to INNS	17
Table 2.2: Types of intentional and unintentional pathways and vectors	46
Table 2.3: Summary of tools for the assessment of biodiversity impacts of invasive plants	49
Table 2.4: Tools for the assessment of impacts of IPs on affected plant communities	52
Table 2.5: List of invasive taxa for Cameroon	63
Table 2.6: Insect species listed as invasive	65
Table 2.7: Livestock diseases listed as invasive in Cameroon.	91
Table A8.1: Cost of the first five years of the Cameroon strategic plan	230
Table A8.2: Cost of vaccination including PVM	231

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Full Name
Assistant Chief Executive Officer
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service Asia and Pacific Plant Protection Commission
African Swine Fever
Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences International African Research Centre on Bananas and Plantains
Convention on Biological Diversity
Chief Executive Officer
Cooperative Initiative on Invasive Species on Island
Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species
Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia
Contingency Plan
Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety
Department of Regulation and Quality Control of Inputs and Agricultural products
Director of Veterinary Services
Global Animal Disease Information System
European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization
Emergency Response
Emergency Response Management Committee
Food and Agriculture Organization
Foot and Mouth Disease
Foot and Mouth Disease Virus
Global Environment Facility
Global Invasive Species Database
Genetically Modified Organism
Global Rinderpest Eradication Programme
Heifer Project International
Invasive Alien Species
Infectious Bursal Disease
International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
International Maritime Organisation
Invasive Non-Native Species
International Plant Protection Convention
International Standards for Phytosanitary Measures
Invasive Species Specialist Group
Integrated Pest Management
Institute of Agricultural Research for Development
International Union for Conservation of Nature
National Veterinary Laboratory
Living Modified Organism
Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
Ministry of Territorial Administration and Decentralization
Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development
i ix
Ministry of Livestock, Fisheries and Animal Industries

MINFOF	Ministry of Forestry and Wildlife
MINRESI	Ministry of the Scientific Research and Innovation
MINSANTE	Ministry of Public Health
NAPPO	North American Plant Protection Organization
NCA	National Competent Authority
NDMC	National Disaster Management Committee
NGOs	Non-Governmental Organizations
OCC	Operational Control Centre
OIE	International Office of Epizootics
PARC	Pan-African Rinderpest Campaign
PCP	Progressive Control Pathway
PCU	Project Coordination Unit
PPR	Peste des Petits Ruminants
PRA	Pest Risk Analysis
PTA	Project Technical Adviser
PVM	Post Vaccination Monitoring
PVS	Post-Viral Syndromer
RPPO	Regional Plant Protection Organisations
SARS	Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
SODEPA	Société de Développement et d'Exploitation des Productions Animales
SPREP	Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme
TCP	Technical Cooperation Programme
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNVDA	Upper Nun Valley Development Authority
WTO	World Trade Organisation

PREFERRED WAY TO CITE THIS PUBLICATION

MINEPDED (2016). Training on Contingency Planning process and Emergency Response on Biological Invasions in Cameroon. Training Manual submitted to MINEPDED under the UNEP/GEF Cameroon Biosecurity Project: Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS). Yaoundé, Cameroon.

CONTACT DETAILS OF THOSE WHO PARTICIPATED

AUTHORS

Dr. GIORGIO MUSCETTA International Consultant

Nature Conservation Manager Invasive Alien Species Expert Phone/Text: +39 347 6551777

Email: giorgio.muscetta@gmail.com

Ms. PRUDENCE TANGHAM GALEGA

National Consultant

National Focal Point - Convention on Biological Diversity

MINEPDED

Tel.: +237 677976367 (c) Email: galegapru@yahoo.com

MEMBERS OF THE PROJECT COORDINATION UNIT

Mr. Rigobert Ntep

Cameroon Biosecurity Project Coordinator Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development Acropole, Yaoundé, Cameroon

Tel: +237 677 30 39 32 Email: rntep@yahoo.fr

Mr. Declan Chongwa Ambe

Cameroon Biosecurity Project Technical and Administrative Assistant Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development Acropole, Yaoundé, Cameroon

Tel: +237 677 02 22 85 / 696 86 66 19 Email: declanambe@yahoo.co.uk

Mr. Clouvis Johnbang

Cameroon Biosecurity Project Financial and Administrative Assistant Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development Acropole, Cameroon

Tel: +237 675 95 92 97 / 698 09 94 77 Email: clouvisjohnbang@yahoo.com

PROJECT TECHNICAL ADVISERS

Dr. John Mauremotoo

United Kingdom

Tel: +44(0)7846219689

Email: jmauremootoo@gmail.com

Dr. Mbah David

Cameroon

Tel: 677839141

Email: dambah@yahoo.co.uk

MEMBERS OF THE COMPONENT 3 TASKFORCE

Dr. Annie WAKATA

Head Component 3

MINESUP

Tel: +237 6 74 60 03 31

Email: annie beya@yahoo.fr

Dr. Vitalis R.M. Chepnda

Component 2 Task Team Member

Permanent Secretary

National Programme for the Prevention and

Fight against Zoonoses

MINEPIA

Yaoundé. Cameroon

Tel: +237 699003722/ Cell: +237 679688500

Email: drchepnda@yahoo.co.uk

Mr. Alain Hervey Njike Tchoukwam

Component 3 Task Team Member

MINESUP

Tel: 237655258484

Email: ahnjike1@yahoo.fr

Mr. Valentin Wagnoun

Component 3 Co-Lead

MINEPDED

Tel: +237 677 86 69 58

Email: valiwa1@yahoo.fr

Dr. Roger Noël Iroume

Component 3 Task Team Member

Inspector General

MINRESI

Yaoundé, Cameroon

Tel: +237 677335433

Email: iroumerog@hotmail.fr

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This activity was conducted as part of UNEP/GEF Project number: GFL/3651 titled "Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) For Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS)", known as The Cameroon Biosecurity Project. The Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED) is the Project National Executing Agency. This report has been prepared for MINEPDED. We also acknowledge the funding support of the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the technical and supervisory support of MINEPDED and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

The authors are grateful for the considerable assistance given in the undertaking of this assignment by the following: Mr. AKWA Patrick KUM BONG (Secretary General - MINEPDED); Mr. Alex OWUSU-BINEY (UNEP); Mr. Declan CHONGWA AMBE.; Mr. ADEGONO Donald; Mr. AOUDOU Joswa; Dr. CASPA Rose; Dr. Annie WAKATA; Dr. BEKA Robert Germain; Dr. David MBAH A.; Dr. FEUMBA Rodrigue Aimé; Dr. MAHOB Raymond; Dr. NGOMBA Armelle; Dr. NJIKI BIKOI Jacky Rep. Kulaban; Dr. Stephen GHOGOMU; Dr. TOMBI Jeannette Rep. Dr. ACHOUNA; ESSONO Danièle Rep. Mr. LEKEALEM; Ismael SANI Rep. EBAI; KUITEKAM DONGO Patrice Rep. LEKU Francis; MEKANDJE Amedé Rep. MBALLA; MENDOMO Marthe Rep. NDONGO Barthelemy; METENOU Paul; MEY Christian; Mme WADOU née ZIEKINE Angèle; Mr. KENFACK Jean; Mr. NTEP Rigobert; NATANG Priscillia SONG; NGO NTOGUE Suzanne Rep. Mme TSAMA Valérie; NGONG Clouvis; NGUELO Colince Rep. ATEBA NOA; NJIKE Alain; ONANA Jean Michel; Pr. KENMOGNE Emile; Pr. NWAGA Dieudonné; Pr. TAMOKWE Georges Bertrand; TAMANJONG Yolande; VIBAN Benard YUVEN and YOUMBI Emmanuel.

DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this publication was, to the best of the authors' knowledge, correct at the time of publication. The opinions expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component Three Task Team. UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component Three Task Team are not responsible for the information provided in this document. These organisations do not make any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of accuracy, reliability, completeness, or content of such information in this document.

Under no circumstances shall UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component Three Task Team be responsible for any loss, damage or liability or expense incurred or suffered which is claimed to have resulted from the use of or reliance upon the information contained in this document, including, but not limited to, any fault error, mistake, omission or defect. Under no circumstances shall these organisations be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, punitive or consequential damages.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

0.1 Context and Justification

It was made clear in the Biosecurity Project document that a major weakness in the management of invasive alien species (IAS) and living modified organisms (LMOs) in Cameroon is capacity in all aspects of risk-based management of invasion pathways and invasion species, from prevention to early detection and rapid response, eradication, control and mitigation. The management of biological invasions is underpinned by some fundamental skill sets. First and foremost, you need to be able to detect the target taxon directly or via evidence of its presence such as feeding damage or disease symptoms. This can be relatively straightforward for large and easily recognised entities but in many cases may require specialised diagnostic procedures. The latter is notably the case for LMOs which can almost never be identified authoritatively by visual inspection alone. Identification is necessary but not sufficient for the management of biological invasions which need to be monitored to understand their dynamics over time.

The Project Objective of the UNEP/GEF funded Cameroon Biosecurity Project (*Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS)*) being executed by MINEPDED in collaboration with other key institutions is to increase capacity to prevent and control the introduction, establishment and spread of Invasive Alien Species (IAS) and management of LMOs in Cameroon through the implementation of a risk-based decision making process.

This project intends to bridge the gap existing in the area of invasive alien species management (which has been documented as one of the major causes of accelerated biodiversity loss including nefarious impact on human and animal health as well as diminishing returns in ecosystems services provision). Since living modified organisms present several benefits to science, agriculture, health and economic growth but carry along with them a potential to become invasive, the need for detecting, diagnostics and monitoring these novel species has also been underscored in the framework of this Project.

Preventing the introduction of invasive species is the first line of defence as part of a risk-based management system for biological invasions as a whole. However, even the best prevention efforts will not stop all invasive species introductions. Early detection and rapid response (ED&RR) efforts increase the likelihood that invasions will be addressed successfully while populations are still localized and population levels are not beyond those which can be contained and eradicated. Contingency planning is essential to ensure a timely, efficient and effective response to new introduced species incursions and it is essential to formulate emergency response exercises that will help ensure that responsible organisations have the capacity to respond to new introduced species incursions unpredictable in space and time.

Despite general low levels of awareness and capacity in Cameroon, some emergency responses have been formulated in the country. For instance with the cases of African swine fever, bird flu and cholera some strategies have been put in place to curb their effects.

Based on global good practice and existing national initiatives, a technical manual on contingency planning with emergency response exercises for biological invasions in Cameroon (MINEPDED 2015) has been produced under the Cameroon Biosecurity Project (CBP). This manual will be essential input into this training process, which will help build awareness and capacity levels among key agencies in Cameroon.

0.2 Objective of the activity

The objective of activity C12 is to produce and deliver a training course in the contingency planning process and the formulation of emergency response exercises for biological invasions (including potential LMO invasions) in Cameroon. The materials will be based on a training course of 6 modules produced by the trainers and modified to include, where possible, content directly relevant to Cameroon. Furthermore, this activity will produce a course manual on Training of Trainers in contingency planning process and the formulation of emergency response exercises for biological invasions (including potential LMO invasions) in Cameroon.

Learning Outcomes

By the end of the course the trainees (potential national trainers and project personnel) will be expected to:

- **1.** Understand the role of contingency planning and emergency response as part of an integrated, risk-based approach to the management of biological invasions.
- **2.** Know the components of a contingency plan required for the management of an incipient biological invasion.
- **3.** Understand the specificity of different processes as required for different species and taxa (including LMOs).
- **4.** Understand how to formulate generic emergency response exercises for biological invasions.

0.3 Methodology

The Consultants examined multiple sources of information both from within Cameroon notably technical reports produced within the CBP as well as pertinent national legislation, information from concerned international Organizations, Research and Scientific Institutions including other governmental Institutions. The initial step after presenting a work plan which was validated by the Component's Task Team was the gathering of information from previous activities of the Cameroon Biosecurity Project (reports, previous training manuals, interviews with national experts, exploitation of literature from organizations involved in IAS/LMOs related issues, Invasive species list for Cameroon, focusing on biological invasions and taking into account case studies which can be applicable to Cameroonian context which outlined best practice

approaches). Using these information sources and the international literature, the consultants drafted the manual which was used as a basis for the training of Trainers in a National Workshop which brought together over thirty-five experts. The experts whose capacity had to be enhanced during the training course were selected from target biosecurity related institutions and some non-governmental Organisations in Cameroon. They were those in institutions (Customs, Environmental Inspection, Agricultural /Livestock Inspection, Researchers, Curators at the National Herbarium, Lecturers in Universities notable those where biotechnology Centres exist, and representatives of NGO dealing with environmental public awareness) occupying various positions that required them to have a general overview of the importance and understanding of the components of Contingency plan and Emergency Response exercises for biological invasions. The training approach was inter-active, presentations in plenary, sub group discussions on case studies followed by sub-group results presentations, questions and answer sessions.

Valuable input provided by the experts during the two-day Training (10 and 11 of November 2016) enabled the repositioning of views and examples used in the document to be in coherence with the Cameroonian context. Some of the experts also provided further information which was used to redraft the manual.

0.4 Project Deliverables

The project output is presented in this document, as a Training of Trainers manual organized in 6 sections.

The following outputs are also produced:

- 1. Delivery of a two-day training course for 30 participants (from key disciplines and sectors) including a course evaluation of relative capacity before and after the course.
- 2. Accompanying course notes for the PowerPoint modules.
- 3. Course Word and PowerPoint modules for the training of trainers and project personnel in the formulation of IAS and LMO contingency plans and emergency response exercises.

The following course modules have been produced:

- **MODULE 1:** Introduction and Course evaluation: pre-course knowledge assessment relative to course objectives.
- MODULE 2: An overview of biological invasions globally and in Cameroon root causes, impacts, management responses and the part played by contingency planning and emergency response in an integrated, risk-based approach to the management of biological invasions.
- MODULE 3: Components of an IAS/LMO contingency plan: Pre-event: Prevention (e.g. quarantine, monitoring and surveillance, quality management) and preparedness (e.g. incursion planning, determination of responsibilities, funding, compensation and legislation, training and awareness, research and development). Trigger: Preliminary assessment and diagnosis and containment of the problem. Scope of the problem: e.g. Disease characterisation, epidemiological assessment, impact assessment.

- Operational response: Implementation of the predetermined response strategies. Stand down: Continued surveillance to ensure freedom from the pest or disease.
- **MODULE 4:** Formulation of generic emergency response exercises for the initial and emergency response using the contingency plan components as a guide.
- **MODULE 5:** International institutions, organisations and networks that can assist Cameroon in contingency planning and emergency response.
- MODULE 6: Course evaluation: post-course knowledge assessment relative to course objectives.

Course manual

This manual has been produced to accompany the course and to serve as a resource for the subsequent national training courses in contingency planning and emergency response. The manual (in modules) comprises PowerPoint presentations used in the course and accompanying course notes.

0.5 Next Steps in conformity with the CBP log frame

Despite the existence of several key actors in the field of LMO/IAS diagnostics, detection and monitoring, biosecurity measures still encounter a set of draw backs – lack of proper coordination in actions and strategies; weak law enforcement; inadequacy of biosecurity legislation; poor infrastructure, insufficient technical capacity building through training of trainers; insufficient public awareness creation and insufficient government funding for functional biosecurity institutions and personnel to carry out biosecurity duties. In the area of cooperation or partnership development, much effort has to be made in order to tap the diverse opportunities offered by bilateral/multilateral cooperation existing in the area of management of LMO/IAS and

LMOs in general and enhancing national capacity for diagnostics, detection and monitoring in particular especially from countries with tremendous experience like Australia and New Zealand that are quite ready to provide needed support to developing countries like Cameroon. Some available expertise is sometimes poorly utilised creating frustrations and consequent brain drain.