



CAMEROON BIOSECURITY PROJECT

Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS)

FORMULATION OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR BIOLOGICAL INVASION RISK PATHWAYS IN CAMEROON

This report has been produced with the support of UNEP/ GEF and the Government of Cameroon via the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development.

Under the Supervision of:

The Biosecurity Project Coordination Unit (MINEPDED)

&

The Project Component Two Taskforce (MINADER)



April 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of figures	III
List of tables.....	IV
Acronyms and abbreviations	V
Acknowledgements.....	VII
Disclaimer	VIII
Preferred way to cite this publication.....	IX
Contact details of those who participated	X
Authors.....	X
Component 2 "Implement sustainable biosecurity strategies" Task Team	XI
Project Technical Advisers	X
Project Coordination Unit.....	X
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1 INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 Context, rationale and objectives of the report.....	3
1.2 Some clarifications on terminology	5
2 METHODOLOGY	8
3 Biological invasions and risk management strategies	10
3.1 Summary of major risk pathways for biological invasions.....	10
3.2 Hierarchy of strategic processes for managing biological invasion risks	13
4 RESULTS – OBSERVATIONS ON THE SITUATION IN CAMEROON	20
4.1 Observations and perceptions on main invasion pathways in Cameroon.....	20
4.2 Activities and risk control on GMOs and pathogens in Cameroon.....	21
4.3 Strategic elements and gaps in Cameroon	25
4.4 Overall SWOT overview of the situation in Cameroon	32

5	DISCUSSION – TOWARDS A GLOBAL RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY.....	34
5.1	Towards a holistic approach to manage IAS and invasion risks.....	34
5.2	Towards a coherent regulatory framework.....	36
5.2.1	Overview	36
5.2.2	Relevant regulatory models	37
5.2.3	Possible approaches and recommendations for Cameroon.....	39
5.3	Towards a more efficient institutional framework.....	41
5.4	Towards a sound risk assessment strategy	43
5.5	Towards efficient information, communication and data management	43
5.6	Capacity building	44
6	SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS AND PROPOSED TIMETABLE.....	47
	References	52
	Annex 1 – List of consulted experts.....	56
	Annex 2 – Participants to the workshop of December 12, 2014	57
	Annex 3 – List of relevant international and national legal instruments.....	58

List of figures

Figure 1. EU risk control strategy and regulatory model for GMOs.....	38
--	----

List of tables

Table 1. Examples of diverging definitions of biosafety and biosecurity traditionally used in different fields of activities (some definitions have been simplified in this table). See also UNOG, 2008.	7
Table 2. Types of IAS and main purposes for legal or illegal introduction	11
Table 3. General risk pathways of unintentional introductions in the environment	12
Table 4. SWOT table of the main observations on the situation in Cameroon	33
Table 5. Tentative timetable for the recommended strategic objectives and priority actions	51

Acronyms and abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Name
AVMA	American Veterinary Medical Association
BCH	Biosafety Clearing-House (of the CBD and Cartagena Protocol)
BSL	Biological Safety Level (or BioSafety Level) (BSL1 to BSL4)
BWC	Biological Weapon and Toxin Convention
CA	Competent Authority / Competent Authorities
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CHM	Clearing-House Mechanism (of the CBD)
CBP	Cameroon Biosecurity Project
CEN	("Centre Européen de Normalisation") European Standardisation Centre
CFT	Confined Field Trial
CNER	("Comité National d'Ethique de la Recherche") National Committee of Research Ethics
CPC	Centre Pasteur du Cameroon
CU	Contained Use
CWA	CEN Workshop Agreement
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ERA	Environmental Risk Assessment
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GEF	Global Environment Facility
GISP	Global Invasive Species Programme
GM	Genetically Modified (e.g., GM plants, non-GM organism, etc.)
GMO(s)	Genetically Modified Organism(s)
IAS	Invasive Alien Species
IHR	International Health Regulations
IMPM	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plant Studies
IPPC	International Plant Protection Convention

IRAD	Institute of Agricultural Research for Development
ISSG	Invasive Species Specialist Group
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
LANAVET	National Veterinary Laboratory
LMO(s)	Living Modified Organism(s)
MINADER	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
MINEPDED	Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development
MINEPIA	Ministry of Livestock, Fisheries and Animal Industries
MINFOF	Ministry of Forestry and Wildlife
MINRESI	Ministry of the Scientific Research and Innovation
MINSANTE	Ministry of Public Health
NABIC	National Adhoc Biosafety Interministerial Committee
NBC	National Biosafety Committee
NBSAP	National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan
NFP	National Focal Point (of the Cartagena Protocol)
OIE	("Office International des Epizooties") World Organisation for Animal Health
OPV	Oral Polio Vaccine
PCU	Project Coordination Unit
PTA	Project Technical Advisor
RA	Risk Assessment
TT	Task Team
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
UNOG	United Nations Office in Geneva
WHO	World Health Organisation
WTO	World Trade Organisation

Acknowledgements

This activity was conducted as part of UNEP/GEF Project No. Project number: GFL/3651 – Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) For Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS), commonly referred to as the Cameroon Biosecurity Project. The Project National Executing Agency is the Ministry of Environment, Nature Protection and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED). This report has been prepared for MINEPDED.

We also acknowledge the funding support of the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the technical and supervisory support of the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

The consultants are grateful for the considerable assistance given in the undertaking of this assignment by the following:

- Mr. Woumane Mbele (Project Coordinator)
 - Mr. Declan Chongwa Ambe D. (Project Technical Assistant)
 - Mr. Clouvis Johnbang (Project Financial Assistant),
 - Mrs. Christine Pedhom (Head of Project Component 2 Task Team, MINADER)
 - Dr. Vitalis Chepnda (Component 2 Task Team Member, MINEPIA)
 - Dr. Roger Noël Iroume (Component 2 Task Team Member MINRESI)
 - Dr. John Mauremootoo (Project Technical Adviser, InSpiral Pathways)
 - Dr. David Mbah (Project Technical Adviser, Cameroon Academy of Sciences)
 - Dr. Elisabeth Dibongue (MINSANTE)
 - Mrs. Alice Ndikontar (MINADER)
 - Dr. Anselme Kameni (IRAD Yaoundé)
 - Prof. Wilfried Mbacha (University of Yaoundé 1, Centre de Biotechnologie)
 - Prof. Jean-Claude Mbanya (University of Yaoundé 1, Centre de biotechnologie)
 - Dr. Dieudonné Nwaga (University of Yaoundé 1)
 - Mr. Jean-Baptiste Ottou Owona (MINSANTE)
 - Dr. Vincent Tanya (Cameroon Academy of Sciences)
 - and Prof. Vincent Titanji (Cameroon Christian University, Bali),
- for their valuable contribution to this work.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication was, to the best of the authors' knowledge, correct at the time of publication. Images used have not been independently verified so there is the possibility of error. The opinions expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component 2 Task Team. UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component 2 Task Team are not responsible for the information provided in this document. These organisations do not make any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of accuracy, reliability, completeness, or content of such information in this document.

Under no circumstances shall UNEP, MINEPDED or the organisations represented in the Component 2 Task Team be responsible for any loss, damage or liability or expense incurred or suffered which is claimed to have resulted from the use of or reliance upon the information contained in this document, including, but not limited to, any fault error, mistake, omission or defect. Under no circumstances shall these organisations be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, punitive or consequential damages.

Preferred way to cite this publication

MINEPDED, 2015. Report on the formulation of risk management strategies for biological invasion pathways in Cameroon. Report submitted to MINEPDED under the UNEP/GEF Cameroon Biosecurity Project: Development and Institution of a National Monitoring and Control System (Framework) for Living Modified Organisms (LMOs) and Invasive Alien Species (IAS), Yaoundé, Cameroon.

Contact details of those who participated

Authors

Dr Philippe STROOT

International Consultant

Biosafety and biorisk management

Xibios Biosafety Consulting, Belgium

Tel.: +32 484 274 365 (c)

Skype: philippe_xibios

Email: philippe.stroot@xibios.eu

www.xibios.eu

Ms Prudence Tangham GALEGA

National Consultant

National Focal Point "Convention on

Biological Diversity", MINEPDED

Tel.: +237 677976367 (c)

Email: galegapru@yahoo.com

Project Coordination Unit

NAME	POSITION	INSTITUTION	CONTACT DETAILS
Mr. Wouamane Mbele	Coordinator	MINEPDED	699 51 31 17 wouamane@yahoo.fr
Mr. Ambe Declan	Assistant	MINEPDED	677 02 22 85 declanambe@yahoo.co.uk
Mr. Clouvis Johnbang	Assistant	MINEPDED	675 95 92 97 clouvisjohnbang@yahoo.com

Project Technical Advisers

NAME	POSITION	INSTITUTION	CONTACT DETAILS
Dr. John Mauremootoo	PTA	InSpiral Pathways, United Kingdom	+44 (0) 784 621 9689 john@inspiralpathways.com
Dr. David Mbah	PTA	Cameroon Academy of Sciences	677 83 91 41 dambah@yahoo.co.uk

Component 2 "Implement sustainable biosecurity strategies" Task Team

NAME	POSITION	INSTITUTION	CONTACTS DETAILS
Mrs. Christine Pedhom	Head	MINADER	699 88 79 95 222 22 16 35 madiesse223@yahoo.fr
Mr. Barthelemy Ndongo	Member	MINEPDED	677 56 40 96 bandongo@yahoo.fr
Mrs. Colette Ekobo	Member	MINADER	677 60 41 01 capcao@yahoo.fr ekoboce@voila.fr
Dr. Roger Noël Iroume	Member	MINRESI	677 33 54 33 iroumerog@hotmail.fr
Dr. Vitalis Chepnda	Member	MINEPIA	699 00 37 22 drchepnda@yahoo.co.uk
Ms. Mirabel Lanyuy	Member	MINADER	675 58 53 98 lanyuym@yahoo.com
Ms. Amandine Samekomba	Member	MINADER	677 48 81 26 amandenang@yahoo.fr

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The present report realised in the framework of Activity 2.3.3 of the Cameroon Biosecurity Project (CBP) focuses on gaps and difficulties related to the incorporation of GMOs and pathogens in the formulation of a global strategy for the management of invasion risks in Cameroon. Indeed, previous project activities have mostly considered conventional IAS and their invasive pathways, and the risks of invasion by GMOs and to a lesser extent pathogens had not been studied in the same level of detail. This report provides a SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats) overview of the situation with respect to invasion risks, more particularly related to pathogens and GMOs, in Cameroon.

The main invasion pathways for pathogens appear to their accidental introduction by vectors, including infected animals or persons, then their incidental dissemination from facilities where they are handled, although this mostly concerns pathogens that are already present in Cameroon, not just alien strains. With respect to GMOs, the most frequently cited invasion pathways are the non-authorized intentional introduction of plants and plant seeds for field trials or commercial culture, and the possible escape from field cultures in case these would become more frequent. However, possible invasion by GMOs is not considered a serious issue in comparison with some other potential IAS, and pathogens in particular.

While the consultation revealed positive initiatives with respect to the control of pathogens, one of its main observations is the lack of control of the situation with respect to GMOs. GMOs appear much more present in Cameroon, including in field culture, than ever declared or even expected. The existing regulations, which present major weaknesses, are not known by a number of stakeholders, and definitely not respected.

Other identified important gaps are the lack of a recognised risk assessment approach, the lack of documented risk assessment for the activities known by the authorities, the absence of real collaboration between the institutions that are involved, the lack of communication with stakeholders in general, and the insufficient involvement of the scientific community.

Other gaps that were identified as likely to impact the control of pathogens or GMOs are a shortage in adequate quarantine facilities at most ports of entry, a shortage of specialised diagnostic and GMO detection capacity, especially in remote areas, the general weakness of the hospital infrastructure, the non-application of safe transport rules for biological samples, the absence of containment greenhouses that would allow testing GM plants safely before possible release, and the lack of a government-owned BSL3 facility for diagnostic purposes. All these are related to limitations in available resources.

Among the main strengths revealed by the consultation appear the availability of competent experts in various disciplines of medicine, animal health, environment and biotechnologies, as well as the recent launch of global and collaborative national strategies and programs, such as the "One Health" strategy and the program to prevent and fight zoonoses.

On the basis of these observations and their possible causes, we identified several priority aspects for the inclusion of GMOs and pathogens in the formulation of a global strategy for the management of invasion risks:

1. The reform of the relevant regulatory framework in order to harmonise, correct and/or complete the relevant regulations, and to make them more applicable.
2. With respect to the regulatory development, the adaptation of the institutional structure to respond to the international commitments of Cameroon, more particularly in relation to GMOs.
3. The development and recognition of a sound and common risk assessment process to become a useful tool for decision-making, and the production of a practical guidance to help the institutions to realise and document sound risk assessments.
4. The reinforcement of the role of the NFP, national BCH and other existing structures in data and knowledge management, information and communication.
5. The re-evaluation of the needs in resource and capacity building on the basis of global, risk-based considerations.

More precise indications are given, particularly in the final discussion and conclusions, on the main modifications that are needed in the legal and institutional frameworks.

The existence and scope of CBP indicate the commitment of the Government of Cameroon to seriously consider the issue of biological invasions and to consider it in a global way; that includes alien and invasive species as well as GMOs and pathogens, in order to protect national biodiversity and environmental resources, including human, animal and plant health. However, ambitious legal and organisational measures are needed to implement a sound and effective management and control of the different categories of potential IAS. More specifically with respect to GMOs, the recommended measures are likely to ensure a harmonious, safe and responsible development of biotechnologies, which is another major stake in Cameroon.